

Relations Between Quantum and Classical Spectral Determinants (Zeta-Functions)

O. Agam[†], A. V. Andreev^{†‡}, and B. L. Altshuler^{†‡}

[†] *NEC Research Institute, 4 Independence Way, Princeton, NJ 08540, USA*

[‡] *Department of Physics, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, 77 Massachusetts Avenue, Cambridge, MA 02139, USA*

We demonstrate that beyond the universal regime correlators of quantum spectral determinants $\Delta(\epsilon) = \det(\epsilon - \hat{H})$ of chaotic systems, defined through an averaging over a wide energy interval, are determined by the underlying classical dynamics through the spectral determinant $1/Z(z) = \det(z - \mathcal{L})$, where $e^{-\mathcal{L}t}$ is the Perron-Frobenius operator. Application of these results to the Riemann zeta function, allows us to conjecture new relations satisfied by this function.

One of the central themes in the study of the quantal behavior of systems which have chaotic classical counterparts, is the quest for a correspondence between their quantal and classical properties. Examples of such correspondences are the random matrix theory (RMT) [1] behavior of chaotic systems over small energy intervals [2], and the “scar” phenomenon in which wave functions of chaotic systems are peaked in the vicinity of short isolated unstable classical orbits [3]. The universal RMT behavior of chaotic systems is associated with the long time dynamics of the chaotic system, when classical probability distributions have already decayed into the ergodic stationary state. In the field theoretic approach to disordered metals [4] RMT emerges as the zero mode contribution associated with the ergodic state. Scars, on the other hand, manifest individual non-universal properties of chaotic systems associated with the short time behavior of the classical system.

The correspondence between the quantum spectral statistics and the classical behavior of chaotic systems is understood, usually, on a qualitative level. The purpose of this letter is to quantify this correspondence by formulating relations between spectral determinants associated with both the quantal and the classical dynamics of chaotic systems. More precisely, we will show that correlators of spectral determinants of a given quantum system, defined through an averaging over a large energy interval, can be expressed in terms of spectral determinants of the classical counterpart.

Correlators of spectral determinants of quantum chaotic systems have been previously discussed in the literature [5]. The results, however, were limited to the universal regime (zero mode approximation) where RMT applies. Therefore, they are incapable of providing any information regarding individual features of the underlying classical dynamics. To this end it is crucial to go beyond the zero mode approximation, to encompass non-universal properties of the system. One possibility is to use periodic orbit theory. The non-universal properties, in this approach, are related to short classical orbits.

This approach has drawbacks which will be discussed below.

In this paper we will employ an alternative semiclassical approach based on a recently developed supersymmetric non-linear σ model for chaotic systems [6] which is free of these drawbacks. The basic classical ingredients of this field theory are modes of the time evolution of the underlying classical system. These are the Perron-Frobenius modes, by which a disturbance in the classical probability density of chaotic system relaxes into the ergodic distribution [7]. They are analogous to the diffusion modes in the case of disordered systems [8]. For time $t > 0$, the μ -th Perron-Frobenius mode decays as $e^{-\gamma_\mu t}$ where $\Re \gamma_\mu \geq 0$. γ_μ are known as the Ruelle resonances. The dynamical zeta function $1/Z(z)$ is the classical spectral determinant defined as

$$1/Z(z) = \prod_{\mu} A_{\mu}(z - \gamma_{\mu}), \quad (1)$$

where A_{μ} are regularization factors introduced to make the product converge. This function, for systems which are ergodic on the energy shell, has a simple zero at the origin, $1/Z(0) = 0$. This zero is associated with the ergodic state to which probability densities evolve, and manifests the conservation of probability. Thus $Z(z)$, in the limit $z \rightarrow 0$, takes the form

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow 0} Z(z) = -\frac{U}{\pi z} + V \quad (2)$$

where U and V are real constants.

The quantum spectral determinant which we consider here is associated with the spectrum of the Hamiltonian $\hat{H}$. It is a real function of the energy ϵ whose zeros coincide with the eigenvalues ϵ_n of $\hat{H}$,

$$\Delta(\epsilon) = \prod_n A'_n(\epsilon - \epsilon_n), \quad (3)$$

where A'_n are regularization factors.

The correlators of the quantum spectral determinants will be defined with respect to the energy averaging:

$$\langle \cdots \rangle = \int \frac{d\epsilon}{\sqrt{2\pi N}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{(\epsilon - \epsilon_0)^2}{2N^2} \right\} (\cdots), \quad (4)$$

where ϵ_0 and N denote, respectively, the center and the width of the energy band over which averaging is performed. For convenience we shall work with dimensionless quantities, i.e. all energies will be measured in units of the mean level spacing, and $\hbar = 1$. Let γ_1 be the

first non-vanishing Perron-Frobenius eigenvalue. We will assume that $\Re\gamma_1 \ll N \ll \epsilon_0$. Under these conditions, results are independent of N , and the classical dynamics is essentially the same over the band.

We consider here chaotic systems with no discrete symmetries belonging to the unitary ensemble (broken time reversal symmetry). The formulae which will be derived and discussed in the following are:

$$\left\langle \frac{\Delta(\epsilon + s)\Delta(\epsilon - s)}{\langle \Delta^2(\epsilon) \rangle} \right\rangle = \frac{1}{U + V} \Re \{ Z(2is)e^{-i2\pi s} \}, \quad (5)$$

$$\left\langle \frac{\langle \Delta^2(\epsilon) \rangle}{\Delta(\epsilon + \omega^+)\Delta(\epsilon - \omega^+)} \right\rangle = \frac{2}{U + V} Z(2i\omega^+)e^{i2\pi\omega^+}, \quad (6)$$

where $\omega^+ = \omega + i0$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle \frac{\Delta(\epsilon + s)\Delta(\epsilon - s)}{\Delta(\epsilon + \omega^+)\Delta(\epsilon - \omega^+)} \right\rangle &= \\ &= \frac{Z(2is)Z(2i\omega^+)}{Z^2(is + i\omega^+)} e^{i2\pi(\omega^+ - s)} + (s \rightarrow -s), \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

which apply if $s, \omega \ll N$. When $s, \omega \ll \Re\gamma_1$, $Z(z)$ can be approximated by (2) and the RMT results [5] are recovered.

An example different from RMT in which these relations are *exact* is the one dimensional harmonic oscillator $H = (p^2 + q^2)/2$. This system is not chaotic, nevertheless, it is ergodic and its spectrum $\epsilon_n = n + 1/2$ is rigid. The quantum spectral determinant at high energies is simply $\Delta(\epsilon) = \cos(\pi\epsilon)$, while the classical dynamical zeta function is $1/Z(z) = 1 - e^{2\pi z}$ with $U = V = 1/2$. Expanding $1/\cos(\epsilon^+) = 2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n e^{i\epsilon^+(2n+1)}$ and averaging over the energy it is straightforward to check all three relations above are satisfied *exactly*. Notice that in contrast with chaotic systems, in this system all zeros of $1/Z(z)$ are purely imaginary.

The derivation of the spectral determinant relations for general chaotic systems consists of two elements: One is the field theoretic framework of a semiclassical approximation in which the classical ingredients are the Perron-Frobenius modes [6], and the second is a method of evaluating non-perturbative parts of correlators [9].

In order to sketch the derivation, we consider relation (7) first. Our starting point is a formula for the ratio of four spectral determinants. Introducing the eight component field $\Psi^T = (\psi_R, \psi_R^*, \chi_R, \chi_R^*, \psi_A, \psi_A^*, \chi_A, \chi_A^*)$, where ψ and χ denote commuting and anti-commuting variables respectively, while R/A designate retarded/advanced components, we have [4],

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\Delta(\epsilon + s^+)\Delta(\epsilon - s^+)}{\Delta(\epsilon + \omega^+)\Delta(\epsilon - \omega^+)} &= \\ &= \int \mathcal{D}\Psi \exp \left\{ -\frac{i}{2} \int dq \Psi^\dagger(\mathbf{q}) \Lambda [\epsilon - \hat{H} - F] \Psi(\mathbf{q}) \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

where $\mathbf{q}$ is spatial coordinate vector, $\Lambda = \text{diag}(1, 1, 1, 1, -1, -1, -1, -1)$, and $F = (\tilde{F}, -\tilde{F})$

with $\tilde{F} = \text{diag}(\omega^+, \omega^+, s^+, s^+)$. From here we proceed along the route discussed in Ref. [6]. Namely, an energy averaging (4) is performed which induces a quartic interaction among the Ψ fields. Then, this interaction is decoupled by means of the Hubbard-Stratonovich transformation, and the integration over Ψ is performed. Finally, a steepest descent approximation, in which the width of the band N plays the role of the large parameter, is used to integrate out the massive modes. The result is of the form of an integral over the remaining Goldston (massless) modes,

$$\left\langle \frac{\Delta(\epsilon + s^+)\Delta(\epsilon - s^+)}{\Delta(\epsilon + \omega^+)\Delta(\epsilon - \omega^+)} \right\rangle = \int \mathcal{D}T \exp(S_{eff}) \quad (9)$$

$$S_{eff} = \frac{\pi}{2} \int dx_{\parallel} \text{STr} [(iF + T^{-1}\mathcal{L}T)Q], \quad (10)$$

where $Q = T^{-1}\Lambda T$, and $T = T(\mathbf{x}_{\parallel})$ belongs to the coset space $U(1, 1/2)/[U(1/1) \otimes U(1/1)]$ [10]. Here $\mathbf{x}_{\parallel}$ denotes a vector of phase space variables which lies on the energy shell $\epsilon_0 = H(\mathbf{x})$, and normalized such that $\int dx_{\parallel} = 1$. $\mathcal{L}$ is the generator of time evolution of the classical dynamics defined by the Poisson brackets,

$$\mathcal{L} \cdot = \{H, \cdot\}. \quad (11)$$

The eigenvalues of $\mathcal{L}$ arising from the regularization of the functional integral (9) constitute the Perron-Frobenius spectrum $\{\gamma_{\mu}\}$.

The large frequency asymptotics of the above integral can be calculated by stationary phase following Ref. [9]. Perturbation theory corresponds to the integration of small fluctuations of T around unity, so that $T = (1 - iP)^{-1}$ where P is small, and

$$Q = \Lambda(1 + iP)(1 - iP)^{-1}, \quad P = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & B \\ \bar{B} & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (12)$$

However, $Q = \Lambda$ is not the only stationary point on the coset space $U(1, 1/2)/[U(1/1) \otimes U(1/1)]$. For the unitary ensemble there exists a second stationary point which is $Q = k\Lambda$ where $k = \text{diag}(1, 1, -1, -1, 1, 1, -1, -1)$ [9]. In our case it amounts merely to a change of sign in the Fermion block, namely to the substitution of $s \rightarrow -s$ in the final results obtained from the first stationary point. It is therefore sufficient to consider the fluctuations around $Q = \Lambda$. Expanding the action to the leading quadratic order in B and $\bar{B}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} S_{eff} &\simeq i2\pi(\omega^+ - s) + \\ &+ i\pi \int dx_{\parallel} \text{Str} [\tilde{F}B\bar{B} + \tilde{F}\bar{B}B + i\bar{B}\mathcal{L}B]. \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

Expressing $B(\mathbf{x}_{\parallel})$ and $\bar{B}(\mathbf{x}_{\parallel})$ in terms of the eigenbasis of the left $\tilde{\phi}_{\nu}$ and right ϕ_{μ} Perron-Frobenius eigenfunctions, as $B(\mathbf{x}_{\parallel}) = \sum_{\mu} B_{\mu} \phi_{\mu}(\mathbf{x}_{\parallel})$ and $\bar{B}(\mathbf{x}_{\parallel}) = \sum_{\nu} \bar{B}_{\nu} \tilde{\phi}_{\nu}(\mathbf{x}_{\parallel})$, we obtain

$$S_{eff} \simeq 2\pi \sum_{\mu} \{i(\omega^+ - s)(|B_{\mu}^{11}|^2 - |B_{\mu}^{33}|^2) +$$

$$-(\gamma_{\mu} - is - i\omega^+) \text{Str}(\bar{B}_{\mu} B_{\mu})\} + i2\pi(\omega^+ - s). \quad (14)$$

We remark, here, that the Perron-Frobenius eigenmodes lie outside the Hilbert space where $B(\mathbf{x}_{\parallel})$ are defined. Nevertheless, they form a biorthonormal set, $\int dx_{\parallel} \tilde{\phi}_{\nu}(\mathbf{x}_{\parallel}) \phi_{\mu}(\mathbf{x}_{\parallel}) = \delta_{\nu, \mu}$, which spans this space [6].

Integrating over B_{μ} , and taking into account also the contribution of the second stationary point $Q = k\Lambda$ we obtain (7). Our perturbation theory can be justified only in the large frequency asymptotics $s, \omega \gg 1$, nevertheless, comparing it with the exact universal expression at the low frequency limit [5] and with the result of renormalization group treatment [11], we conclude that (7) is correct for arbitrary values of s and ω .

Relations (5) and (6) can be similarly obtained by performing the energy averaging only in the Fermion-Fermion or in the Boson-Boson blocks respectively. The normalization constants of the resulting expressions are determined by considering the limits $s, \omega^+ \rightarrow 0$.

It is instructive to show how one might use periodic orbit theory in order to argue that the spectral determinant relations are satisfied. One can express both spectral determinants, $\Delta(\epsilon)$ (in the semiclassical approximation) and $1/Z(z)$, in terms of the classical periodic orbits of the system. For concreteness we consider two dimensional systems. The spectral determinant (3), in the semiclassical approximation, can be written as [12]

$$\Delta(\epsilon) = e^{-i\pi \bar{N}(\epsilon)} \zeta_s(\epsilon), \quad (15)$$

where $\bar{N}(\epsilon)$ is the mean level staircase, and $\zeta_s(\epsilon)$ is the Selberg zeta function,

$$\zeta_s(\epsilon) = \prod_p \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{e^{iS_p(\epsilon) - i\nu_p}}{|\Lambda_p|^{1/2} \Lambda_p^k} \right). \quad (16)$$

Here p labels the primitive periodic orbits of the system characterized by action $S_p(\epsilon)$, Maslov phase ν_p , and the eigenvalue of the monodromy matrix Λ_p with absolute value larger than one.

The dynamical zeta function (1) has an exact representation in terms of a product over periodic orbits [7],

$$1/Z(z) = \prod_p \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{e^{zT_p}}{|\Lambda_p| \Lambda_p^k} \right)^{k+1} \quad (17)$$

where $T_p = \partial S_p(\epsilon)/\partial \epsilon$ is the period of the p -th orbit.

Both periodic orbit products, (16) and (17), suffer from convergence problems in the regions of interest, nevertheless they imply a particular form of regularization since they can be understood as analytically continued from domains of the complex plain where the products converge. Algebraic manipulation with their forms should be therefore understood as either performed using resummed formulae, or analytically continued from regions

of complex energies (ϵ and z) where the products converge.

To calculate $\langle \Delta(\epsilon + s) \Delta(\epsilon - s) \rangle$ one might try to express (16) as a Dirichlet sum over pseudo-orbits [12], and then keep the diagonal part of the resulting double sum in $\Delta(\epsilon + s) \Delta(\epsilon - s)$. However such calculation yields a wrong result. The reason is that the pseudo-orbits in the Dirichlet representation of the the Selberg zeta function are not independent. They are related by the fact that $\Delta(\epsilon)$ for real ϵ is a real function while its divergent periodic orbit representation is not manifestly real. In fact, a resummation of the divergent tail the Dirichlet sum reproduces the complex conjugate of the head, and the resulting expression for the spectral determinant has a Riemann-Siegel form in which only a finite number of orbits contribute effectively [13]. Using such a formula for $\Delta(\epsilon)$ one can show that to the leading order in $1/|\Lambda_p|$ (5) is reproduced. In the case of inverse spectral determinants one should interpret $1/\zeta_s(E + \omega^+)$ as the usual periodic orbit product (16) evaluated at ω with sufficiently large imaginary part. Applying the diagonal approximation with the above interpretation of the spectral determinants at the numerator and the denominator and using $|\Lambda_p| \gg 1$, one can obtain (6) and (7).

It is worth pointing out the differences between the field theoretic and the traditional semiclassical approaches. The starting point of the periodic orbit theory is a semiclassical approximation for the quantum spectral determinants given in terms of periodic orbits (16). Energy averaging is then performed using the diagonal approximation. The problem is that the diagonal approximation is uncontrolled. Moreover it leads to wrong results whenever the diverging periodic orbit sums involved in the calculation are interpreted incorrectly. The results of this approach also appear to be only a leading order in the instability of the orbits namely when $|\Lambda_p| \gg 1$. In the field theoretic approach the starting point is an exact quantum mechanical expression for the spectral determinants. The energy averaging is performed exactly, and only then the semiclassical approximation is applied. Here the approximations at each stage of the calculation are controlled and large instability, $|\Lambda_p| \gg 1$, is not required.

Our results can be used in order to reveal new mathematical relations satisfied by the Riemann zeta function,

$$\zeta(x) = \prod_p \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^x} \right)^{-1}, \quad (18)$$

where the product is over all prime numbers p . In view of the Riemann hypothesis, it is believed that there exists a chaotic Hamiltonian whose eigenenergies coincide with the non-trivial zeros of $\zeta(x)$ on the critical line $\Re x = 1/2$ [14]. Thus $\zeta(1/2 - i\epsilon)$ plays the role of the Selberg zeta function for this system. The spectral determinant (which is a real function for real energies) is given by [14]

$$\Delta_R(\epsilon) = e^{-i\pi \bar{N}(\epsilon)} \zeta(1/2 - i\epsilon), \quad (19)$$

where $\bar{N}(\epsilon) = \frac{\epsilon}{2\pi} (\ln \frac{\epsilon}{2\pi} - 1) + \frac{7}{8} + O(\frac{1}{\epsilon})$ is the mean counting function, which gives the mean number of zeros below ϵ . The mean density of zeros $\bar{d}(\epsilon) = d\bar{N}(\epsilon)/d\epsilon \sim \ln(\epsilon)/2\pi$, thus, increases logarithmically with the energy. Here, for convenience, we choose *not* to normalize the energy by the mean level spacing.

As we have shown, correlators of quantum spectral determinants are related to the classical spectral determinant. To apply our results it is therefore necessary to determine the function $1/Z_R(z)$ which plays the role of the dynamical zeta function for the ‘‘Riemann system’’. This can be deduced from the exact periodic orbit formula [15],

$$1/Z(z) = \exp \left\{ - \sum_p \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r} \frac{e^{zT_p r}}{|\det(M_p^r - I)|} \right\}, \quad (20)$$

where M_p^r is the monodromy matrix of the p -th orbit repeated r times [for two dimensional systems Eq. (20) reduces to (17)]. From, $T_p = \log p$ and $|\det(M_p^r - I)| = e^{r \ln p}$ [16] it follows that

$$Z_R(z) = \zeta(1 - z), \quad (21)$$

Thus the inverse of the dynamical zeta function is itself the Riemann zeta function. It has a single simple zero at the origin, and since $\lim_{z \rightarrow 0} \zeta(1 - z) = -1/z + C$, $U = \pi$ and $V = C$ where $C = 0.577215 \dots$ is Euler’s constant. Assuming the existence of an underlying chaotic ‘‘Riemann system’’, and using (19) and (21) one can apply (5), (6) and (7), to the Riemann zeta function.

It is worth mentioning that the periodic orbit approach, namely using the Dirichlet representation, $\zeta(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-x}$, the fact that $\Delta_R(\epsilon)$ is real, and diagonal approximation, yields $\langle \Delta_R(\epsilon + s) \Delta_R(\epsilon - s) \rangle = \Re \left\{ Z_R(2is) e^{-i2\pi \bar{d}(\epsilon_0)s} \right\}$ which is analogous to (5), and exact to any order in $1/|\Lambda_p|$. In this respect the diagonal approximation for the Riemann zeta function is compatible with the field theoretic calculation.

Relation (6) for the Riemann zeta function can be written in a particularly simple form. Substituting (19) and (21) in (6) leads to

$$\left\langle \frac{1}{\zeta[\frac{1}{2} - i(\epsilon + \omega^+)]} \frac{1}{\zeta^*[\frac{1}{2} - i(\epsilon - \omega^+)]} \right\rangle = \zeta(1 - i2\omega^+). \quad (22)$$

To summarize, we have shown that correlators of quantum spectral determinants of chaotic systems are expressed in terms of the classical spectral determinant associated with the Perron–Frobenius spectrum. On energy scales smaller than $\Re \gamma_1$ where γ_1 is the first non-vanishing Perron-Frobenius eigenvalue, the universal results are reproduced, while over larger scale the behavior is determined by properties of the dynamical zeta function. The results, applied to the Riemann zeta function, enabled us to conjecture the existence of new relations

satisfied by $\zeta(s)$. Our relations (5) (6) and (7) can be also straightforwardly generalized to higher order correlators.

We are grateful to N. Brenner, S. Fishman, A. M. Polyakov, D. Ruelle, B. D. Simons, and Ya. G. Sinai, for stimulating discussions. The work of A. V. A. was supported in part by JSEP No. DAAL 03-89-0001. O. A. acknowledges the support of the Rothschild Fellowship.

-
- [1] M. L. Mehta, Random Matrices, (Academic Press, New York, 1991)
 - [2] O. Bohigas, M. J. Giannoni, and C. Schmidt, Phys. Rev. Lett. **52**, 1 (1984).
 - [3] E. J. Heller, Phys. Rev. Lett. **53**, 1515 (1984); Lecture Notes in Physics **263**, 162 (1986).
 - [4] K. B. Efetov Adv. Phys. **32**, 53 (1983).
 - [5] A. V. Andreev and B. D. Simons, Phys. Rev. Lett. **75**, 2304 (1995).
 - [6] A. V. Andreev, O. Agam, B. D. Simons, and B. L. Altshuler, e-print archive cond-mat/9601001, see also B. A. Muzykantskii, D. E. Khmel’nitskii, JETP Lett. **62**, 76 (1995).
 - [7] D. Ruelle, Statistical Mechanics, Thermodynamic Formalism (Reading, MA: Addison-Wesley, 1978).
 - [8] O. Agam, A. V. Andreev, and B. L. Altshuler, Phys. Rev. Lett. **75**, 4389 (1995).
 - [9] A. V. Andreev and B. L. Altshuler, Phys. Rev. Lett. **75**, 902 (1995).
 - [10] M. R. Zirnbauer, Nucl. Phys. **B 265** [FS **15**], 375 (1986).
 - [11] V.E. Kravtsov and A. D. Mirlin, Pis’ma Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **60**, 645 (1994) [JEPT Lett. **60**, 656 (1994)].
 - [12] M. V. Berry and J. P. Keating, J. Phys. A Math. Gen. **23**, 4839 (1990).
 - [13] M. V. Berry and J. P. Keating, Proc. R. Soc. Lond. A **437** 151 (1992).
 - [14] E. C. Titchmarsh, *the theory of Riemann zeta function* (Oxford University Press, 1986).
 - [15] P. Cvitanović and B. Eckhardt, J. Phys. A: Math Gen **24**, L237 (1991).
 - [16] M. V. Berry, Proc. Roy. Soc. London **A 400**, 229 (1985).